

Atty Docket No.: 10014769-2



In The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

In Re the Application of:

Inventor(s):

Abdlmonem H. Beitelmal et al.

Confirmation No.:

Serial No.:

10/696,999

Examiner: Anatoly Vortman

Filed:

October 31, 2003

Group Art Unit:

2835

Title:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INDIVIDUALLY COOLING

COMPONENTS OF ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF HAND-DELIVERY WITH THE USPTO

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. This correspondence contains the following document(s):

1 sheet of Transmittal Letter for Response/Amendment (2 copies).

3 sheets of Response to Restriction Requirement.

Respectfully submitted,

MANNAVA & KANG, P.C.

On March 18, 2004

;

Timothy B. Kang

Reg. No.: 46,423

MANNAVA & KANG, P.C. 2930 Langdon Gate Drive

Fairfax, VA 22031

703-560-8503

703-991-1162 (facsimile)

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10014769-2

MAR 1 8 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

entor(s):

Abdlmonem H. Beitelmal et al.

Confirmation No.: 8245

Application No.: 10/696,999

Examiner: Anatoly Vortman

Filing Date:

Oct. 31, 2003

Group Art Unit:

2835

Title:

Sir:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INDIVIDUALLY COOLING COMPONENTS OF

ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS

Commissioner for Patents

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Tran	smitted he	erew	ith is/are the fo	llowing	g in	the above	-identified	appl	ication:					
(X)	Respons	e/Ar	nendment	. (() Petition to extend time to respond									
()	New fee	as o	alculated below	() Supplemental Declaration										
(X)	No addit	iona	l fee (Address	s envel	ope	to "Mail S	Stop Non-F	ee A	mendm	ent")			
()	Other:								(fee \$)					
Γ	CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY													
	(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT 16		(3) NUMBER EXTRA MINUS		HIGHEST PREVIOUS	(5) PRESENT EXTRA		(6) RATE		(7) ADDITIONAL FEES			
	TOTAL CLAIMS					20			0	×	\$18	\$	0	
į.	INDEP. CLAIMS					3		=	= 0		\$86	\$	0	
	[] FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM									+	\$290	\$	0	
	EXTENSION FEE				ND MONTH \$420.00		3RD MON \$950.00		TH 4TH			\$	0	

to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16 through 1.21 inclusive, and any other sections in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date of Deposit:

Typed Name:

Signature:_

Respectfully submitted,

TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT

Abdimonem H. Beitelmal et al.

OTHER FEES

\$

0

Timothy B. Kang

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 46,423

Date: March 18, 2004

Telephone No.: (703) 560-8503

MAR 1 8 2004

In The United States Patent and Trademark Office

Applicant(s): Abdlmonem H. Beitelmal et al. Confirmation 8245

U.S. Serial No.: 10/696,999 Examiner: Anatoly Vortman

Filed: October 31, 2003 Group Art 2835

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INDIVIDUALLY COOLING

COMPONENTS OF ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In response to the Official Action dated February 19, 2004, the following remarks are submitted.

The aforementioned Official Action asserts that the present application contains claims that are directed to two patentably distinct groups. As identified in that Official Action, these two groups are: Group I, Claims 10-25, directed to a method of cooling a plurality of heat generating components, and Group II, Claims 26-32, directed to a computer readable storage medium on which is embedded one or more computer programs implementing a method for cooling a plurality of heat generating components.

A restriction requirement has been imposed requiring an election of one of the two groups on the alleged basis that the above-identified inventions are related as process and apparatus for its practice. In addition, the Official Action alleges that the search required for one of the groups is not required for the other group.

In response to that restriction requirement, Applicants hereby elect, with traverse, Group I, directed to Claims 10-25.

The election is made with traverse, because it is believed that all of the embodiments of this application can be examined at the same time without serious burden. The search required for the non-elected group would likely be co-extensive with that required for the elected group, because all the groups include embodiments involving a method of cooling a plurality of heat generating components of an electronic system having an enclosure and a plenum located within the enclosure. In fact, for instance, all of the elements cited in Claim

10 are recited in Claim 26. It is thus submitted that no serious burden would result if all of the embodiments of this application were examined concurrently. In addition, as set forth in MPEP 803, "[i]f the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions." It is clearly the case here that examination of all the claims would not be a serious burden on the Examiner. Accordingly, withdrawal of the restriction requirement and examination of all of the embodiments of this application, are respectfully requested.

In addition, it is respectfully submitted that the basis for the restriction requirement in the instant application is improper. The Official Action argues that the "computer readable storage medium can be used to perform any another and materially different process, since any sets of instruction for any desirable process may be stored on said medium." Moreover, the Official Action further asserts that "sets of instructions for the process as claimed can be derived from any number of source (i.e., not from the computer readable storage medium)", and states that by way of example, "sets of instructions can be inputted manually from the computer keyboard." While it is true that computer readable storage mediums are generally capable of performing other processes, the claims in the present application are directed to a computer readable medium configured to perform a specific process as set forth in Claims 26-32. More particularly, Claim 26 pertains to a computer readable storage medium having a set of instructions for performing the method set forth in Claim 10.

This is the first time the undersigned has ever received a Restriction Requirement based upon an alleged distinction between method claims and computer readable medium claims comprising a set of instructions for performing the steps of the method claims. These types of claims are almost always examined together as they pose substantially no additional burden on the Examiner. For at least these reasons, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the Restriction Requirement and to examine all of the claims pending in the present application.

Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference with the undersigned would assist in resolving any issues pertaining to the above-identified application, please contact the

Docket No.: 10014769-2

undersigned at the telephone number listed below. Please grant any required extensions of time and charge any fees due in connection with this request to deposit account no. 08-2025.

Respectfully submitted,

Abdlmonem H. Beitelmal et al.

Dated: March 18, 2004

Timothy B. Kang Reg. No. 46,423

Mannava & Kang, P.C. 2930 Langdon Gate Drive Fairfax, VA 22031 (703) 560-8503